organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Wei-Hua Li,^a Guo-Dong Si,^b Bao-Rong Hou,^c Zhi-Gang Yu,^a* Fa-Qian Liu^d and Yong-Qi Qin^b

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, People's Republic of China, ^bCollege of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, People's Republic of China, ^cInstitute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, People's Republic of China, and ^dMaterials Chemistry Laboratory, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: fqliu@vip.163.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 294 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.093 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 \odot 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

4-[3-(1,2,4-Triazol-1-yl)propionyl]phenyl 4-fluorobenzoate

In the title compound, $C_{18}H_{14}FN_3O_3$, the dihedral angles made by the triazole ring with the plane of the central benzene ring and the *p*-fluorophenylcarbonyl group are 82.09 (2) and 82.05 (2)°, respectively. There are weak C-H···O intra- and intermolecular interactions in the crystal structure, which contribute to the stability.

Online 21 May 2005

Received 25 April 2005 Accepted 10 May 2005

Comment

Triazole rings appear frequently in the structures of various natural products and biologically active compounds, notably thiamine (vitamin B), penicillins and antibiotics, such as micrococcin (James & Watson, 1966). Triazole derivatives have also attracted considerable attention in industry and agriculture because of their significant biological activities (Zhang *et al.*, 2002). In this paper, we report the structure of the title compound, (I).



In the molecule of (I) (Fig. 1), the bond lengths and angles are generally normal in the benzene and triazole rings (Ji *et al.*, 2002). The C1–O1 and C14–O3 bond lengths of 1.200 (3) and 1.216 (3) Å, respectively (Table 1), are close to the typical C=O double-bond length. Atom C16 lies in the plane of the triazole ring, and atoms O3, C11, C14 and C15 are coplanar (plane P1). The dihedral angles formed by the triazole and C8–C13 rings with P1 are 81.20 (3) and 5.16 (2)°, respectively. Atom C1 lies in the plane of the C2–C7 benzene ring, and atoms O1, O2, C1, C2 and C8 are coplanar (plane P2). The dihedral angles formed by the triazole and C2–C7 rings with P2 are 78.75 (3) and 82.05 (2)°, respectively.

The structure of (I) shows a number of weak $C-H\cdots O$ intra- and intermolecular interactions (Table 2) which stabilize the crystal structure.

Experimental

1-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propan-1-one was prepared according to the method reported by Ogata *et al.* (1987). The title compound was prepared by the reaction of 1-(4-hydroxy-

phenyl)-3-(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)propan-1-one (2.17 g, 10 mmol) with 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride (1.59 g, 10 mmol) in acetone. Single crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray measurements were obtained by recrystallization from chloroform–ethyl acetate (1:3, ν/ν) at room temperature (m.p. 437–438 K). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone- d^6 , δ , p.p.m.): 7.12–8.15 (10H, m, Ar), 3.03–3.92 (4H, m, N–CH2–CH2–O). Analysis, calculated for C₁₈H₁₄FN₃O₃: C 63.71, H 4.16, N 12.38%; found: C 63.67, H 4.22, N 12.71%.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 3.1-21.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 294 (2) KBlock, colourless $0.38 \times 0.20 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

Cell parameters from 2217

Crystal data

$C_{18}H_{14}FN_3O_3$
$M_r = 339.32$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$
a = 5.8352 (11) Å
b = 8.0741 (14) Å
c = 34.311 (7) Å
$V = 1616.5 (5) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.394 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	1953 independent reflections
diffractometer	1254 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.040$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.3^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -7 \rightarrow 5$
$T_{\min} = 0.975, \ T_{\max} = 0.987$	$k = -8 \rightarrow 10$
9128 measured reflections	$l = -35 \rightarrow 42$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0379P)^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$	+ 0.2678P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.093$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
1953 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
226 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

F1-C5	1.357 (3)	O2-C8	1.407 (3)
N1-C16	1.458 (4)	O3-C14	1.216 (3)
O1-C1	1.200 (3)	C14-C15	1.500 (4)
O2-C1	1.358 (3)	C15-C16	1.515 (4)
C18-N1-C16	130.7 (3)	C13-C8-O2	118.9 (3)
N2-N1-C16	120.0 (2)	C9-C8-O2	119.4 (3)
C1-O2-C8	117.7 (2)	O3-C14-C11	120.2 (3)
O1-C1-O2	122.5 (3)	O3-C14-C15	120.9 (3)
O1-C1-C2	126.0 (3)	C11-C14-C15	118.8 (3)
O2-C1-C2	111.4 (3)	C14-C15-C16	114.6 (3)
F1-C5-C6	118.4 (3)	N1-C16-C15	111.2 (3)
F1-C5-C4	118.0 (3)		
C10-C11-C14-O3	-6.8(4)	C18-N1-C16-C15	-104.6(4)
O3-C14-C15-C16	7.4 (4)	N2-N1-C16-C15	72.4 (3)
$\begin{array}{c} 02-C1-C2\\ F1-C5-C6\\ F1-C5-C4\\ c10-C11-C14-O3\\ O3-C14-C15-C16\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 111.4 (3) \\ 118.4 (3) \\ 118.0 (3) \\ -6.8 (4) \\ 7.4 (4) \end{array} $	C14-C15-C16 N1-C16-C15 C18-N1-C16-C15 N2-N1-C16-C15	114 111 -104 72



Figure 1

The structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
С3-Н3···О2	0.93	2.42	2.731 (3)	100
C13-H13···O1 ⁱ	0.93	2.43	3.180 (4)	137

Symmetry code: (i) x + 1, y, z.

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C–H = 0.93 or 0.97 Å, and included in the final cycles of refinement using a riding model, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$. In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Friedel pairs were averaged.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 40376023).

References

Bruker (1998). SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bruker (1999). SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- James, M. N. G. & Watson, K. J. (1966). J. Chem. Soc. C, pp. 1361-1371.
- Ji, B. M., Du, C. X., Zhu, Y. & Wang, Y. (2002). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 21, 252– 255.
- Ogata, M., Matsumoto, H., Kida, S., Shimizu, S., Tawara, K. & Kawamurai, Y. (1987). J. Med. Chem. 30, 1497–1502.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Zhang, Y., Sun, X. W., Hui, X. P., Zhang, Z. X., Wang, Q. & Zhang, Q. (2002). Chin. J. Chem . 20, 168–173.